

Boration

Deutsche Ausgabe: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201509218 Internationale Ausgabe: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201509218

Synthesis of 1,1-Diborylalkenes through a Brønsted Base Catalyzed Reaction between Terminal Alkynes and Bis(pinacolato)diboron

Akira Morinaga, Kazunori Nagao, Hirohisa Ohmiya,* and Masaya Sawamura*

Abstract: A method for the synthesis of 1,1-diborylalkenes through a Brønsted base catalyzed reaction between terminal alkynes and bis(pinacolato)diboron has been developed. The procedure allows direct synthesis of functionalized 1,1-diborylalkenes from various terminal alkynes including propiolates, propiolamides, and 2-ethynylazoles.

The use of 1,1-diborylalkenes as versatile intermediates in organic synthesis has gained considerable attention as a result of their applicability toward various transformations. For example, the two geminal boron substituents can be differentiated and transformed in a stepwise manner, allowing the synthesis of a diverse array of multisubstituted alkenes. [1] Several synthetic methods for accessing 1,1-diborylalkenes have been developed. [1-4] Specifically, 40 years ago Matteson reported the synthesis of 1,1-diborylalkenes through an addition reaction of triborylmethyllithium to carbonyl compounds (Scheme 1 a). [2] More recently, Shimizu, Hiyama, and co-workers reported a reaction between bis(pinacolato)di-

boron and 1-bromo-1-lithioalkenes, which were prepared from 1,1-dibromoalkenes by means of Br–Li exchange (Scheme 1b).^[1] Marder et al. and Iwasawa and co-workers reported the use of rhodium and palladium catalytic systems for dehydrogenative geminal diboration of terminal alkenes (Scheme 1c).^[3]

Herein, we report a new and efficient approach to the synthesis of 1,1-diborylalkenes through a Brønsted base catalyzed reaction between terminal alkynes and bis(pinacolato)diboron (Scheme 1 d).^[5-7] The procedure allows the direct synthesis of functionalized 1,1-diborylalkenes from various terminal alkynes including propiolates, propiolamides, and 2-ethynylazoles. The mild and transition-metal-free reaction conditions are attractive features of this method.

Specifically, the reaction between ethyl propiolate (1a; 1.47 g, 15 mmol) and bis(pinacolato)diboron (2; 3.81 g, 15 mmol) in the presence of LiOtBu (10 mol%) in CH₃CN (30 mL) at 40 °C over 5 h gave β , diborylacrylate 3a (4.81 g, 13.7 mmol; Scheme 2). Compound 3a was isolated in 91%

Scheme 2. Brønsted base catalyzed reaction between 1a and 2.

b) Reaction between 1-bromo-1-lithioalkenes and diboron

$$R^1$$
 Br $nBuLi$ R^1 Li $pinB$ Bpin R^1 Bpin R^2 Br R^2 Bpin

c) Dehydrogenative geminal diboration of alkenes

d) Brønsted base catalysis (this work)

 $\textbf{\textit{Scheme 1.}} \ \, \text{Synthesis of 1,1-diborylalkenes. pin} = \text{pinacolato}.$

yield (based on **1a**; 99 % NMR yield; complete conversion of **1a**). The boron atoms of **3a** showed no interaction with the carbonyl oxygen, as indicated by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy.

Screening of base catalysts for the reaction between **1a** and **2** identified LiO*t*Bu as the most effective (Table 1, entry 1). NaO*t*Bu, KO*t*Bu, and lithium hexamethyl disilazide (LHMDS) were also effective, but gave slightly lower product

Table 1: The effect of changing the catalyst on the reaction between $\mathbf{1}\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{2}^{[a]}$

| entry | catalyst | yield [%] ^[b] | |
|-------|----------|--------------------------|--|
| 1 | LiOtBu | 91 | |
| 2 | NaOtBu | 79 | |
| 3 | KOtBu | 78 | |
| 4 | LHMDS | 74 | |
| 5 | LiOMe | 3 | |
| 6 | DABCO | 17 | |
| 7 | DMAP | 15 | |
| 8 | PBu_3 | 33 | |
| 9 | none | 0 | |

[a] Conditions: 1 (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), catalyst (10 mol%), CH_3CN , 40 °C, 5 h. [b] Yield of isolated product.

Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University

Sapporo 060-0810 (Japan)

E-mail: ohmiya@sci.hokudai.ac.jp

sawamura@sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Homepage: http://www.chem.sci.hokudai.ac.jp/~orgmet/index.php

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201509218.

^[*] A. Morinaga, K. Nagao, Prof. Dr. H. Ohmiya, Prof. Dr. M. Sawamura Department of Chemistry



yields (74-79% yields; entries 2-4), while weaker bases such as LiOMe, 1,4-diazobicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), and PBu₃ were much less effective (3-33 % yields; entries 5-8). No reaction occurred in the absence of base (entry 9). Aprotic solvents such as hexane, toluene, THF, and dichloromethane could also be used, but gave slightly lower yields (89%, 71%, 74%, and 78%). Significant reductions in yield were found for the reactions carried out in protic solvents such as tBuOH (54%).

The optimal procedure was applied to various alkynoates (Table 2, entries 1–5). The ethoxy carbonyl group of **1a** could be replaced with a methoxy carbonyl group with only a slight reduction in the product yield (entry 1). More sterically demanding alkoxycarbonyl substituents such as tert-butoxy, phenoxy, or menthoxy groups were tolerated (entries 2-4). The steroidal alkynoate 1 f, which was prepared from transandrosterone, was also found to be a suitable substrate (entry 5).

The reaction of propiolamides 1g-j furnished the corresponding 1,1-diborylalkenes (Table 2, entries 6–9). For example, N-phenyl-N-methylamide, N-benzyl-N-methylamide, or Weinreb amide derivatives reacted with 2 efficiently (entries 6-8). The imide 1j, prepared from chiral oxazolidinone, also participated in the reaction (entry 9). However, propiolaldehyde showed no reactivity under similar conditions.

2-Ethynylazoles were also suitable substrates (Table 3).[8] For example, the reaction of 2-ethynylbenzoxazole (1k), with

Table 2: Reaction scope: Terminal alkynes.[a]

| | 1 2 (1 equiv) (1 ed | 2 40 °C, 5–12 h 3 quiv) | • |
|-------|---|---|-------------------|
| entry | alkyne | product | yield |
| 1 | MeO 1b | MeO Bpin H Bpin 3b | 83 |
| 2 | O <i>t</i> BuO 1c | tBuO Bpin H Bpin 3c | 81 |
| 3 | PhO 1d | PhO Bpin H Bpin 3d | 88 |
| 4 | О —— Н 1е | O Bpin H Bpin 3e | 89 |
| 5 | Me H H | pinB O H H H | 84 |
| 6 | PhMeN 1g | PhMeN———————————————————————————————————— | 75 ^[c] |
| 7 | BnMeN 1h | Bpin H Bpin 3h | 63 |
| 8 | O ——H (MeO)MeN 1i | (MeO)MeN—Bpin H Bpin 3i | 63 |
| 9 | $0 \longrightarrow H$ $0 \longrightarrow Bn 1j$ | Bn H Bpin | 55 |

[a] Conditions: 2 (0.2 mmol), 1 (0.2 mmol), LiOtBu (10 mol%), CH₃CN, 40°C, 5 h (entries 1-4) or 12 h (entries 5-9). [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] The reaction was carried out on a 5.0 mmol scale. Bn = benzyl.

Table 3: Reaction scope: 2-Ethynylazoles.[a]

| (1 equiv) | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| entry | alkyne | product | yield [%] ^[b] | | |
| 1 | | N O Bpin H Bpin 3k | 88 | | |
| 2 | N S 11 | S Bpin H Bpin | 78 | | |
| 3 | N N Boc 1m | BocN Bpin H Bpin 3m | 37 (80) ^[c] | | |

[a] Conditions: 1 (0.2 mmol), 2 (0.2 mmol), LiOtBu (20 mol%), CH₃CN, 40°C, 5 h. [b] Yield of isolated product. Yield determined by ¹H NMR is in parentheses. [c] A loss of material occurred during purification because 3 m was unstable. As a result, the yield of isolated product was significantly reduced as compared with the yield determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

an increased catalyst loading (20 mol % LiOtBu), proceeded efficiently and cleanly, giving the corresponding 1,1-diborylalkene (entry 1). Benzothiazole and benzimidazole were also tolerated as azole groups (entries 2 and 3), but the use of phenylacetylene or 2-ethynylpyridine resulted in no reaction.

To gain insight into the mechanism of the LiOtBucatalyzed reaction between terminal alkynes and the diboron derivative, a deuterium labeling experiment was conducted (Scheme 3a). The reaction with C3-deuterated ethyl propiolate [D]-1a (90 % D) instead of 1a under optimum conditions afforded the C2-deuterated product [D]-3a with 86% incorporation of deuterium. This result indicated that the H atom



Scheme 3. Deuterium labeling experiments.

in 3 was derived from the terminal C(sp)-H bond of the alkvne substrate 1.

A deuterium-labeled crossover experiment between [D]-1a (90% D) and 1d resulted in nearly complete H/D scrambling in both products ([D]-3a and [D]-3d; Scheme 3b). Based on this result, intramolecular 1,2-H-migration should be ruled out.

The screening of base catalysts discussed above found LHMDS to be effective regardless of its extreme steric demand (see Table 1, entry 4). Based on this result, a mechanism involving conjugate addition of the base catalyst to the terminal alkyne, as in the cases of phosphine-catalyzed 1,2carboboration and 1,2-diboration of alkynoates, [6b,c] was ruled out. Instead, a Brønsted base mechanism involving acetylide formation is conceivable. To test this possibility, a stoichiometric reaction using nBuLi instead of the catalytic LiOtBu base was conducted (Scheme 4). Thus, a lithium acetylide (A)

Scheme 4. Stoichiometric reaction using nBuLi in place of catalytic LiOtBu.

was first prepared and was reacted with the diboron 2. We assumed the formation of an alkynyl borate species (B), although signal assignment in the NMR spectra was unsuccessful because of the complexity of the spectra. Subsequent addition of one equivalent of tBuOH and leaving the mixture to stand at 25 °C for 1 h gave 3a in 27 % yield, as determined by NMR spectroscopy.^[9]

Taking into account the results of the deuterium labeling experiments and the stoichiometric reaction with nBuLi,

Scheme 5. Proposed catalytic cycle.

a mechanism for the reaction was proposed (Scheme 5). The catalytic cycle is initiated by deprotonation of the terminal alkyne of 1 with LiOtBu to form a lithium acetylide (A') coordinated with tBuOH in an equilibrium. Then, A' reacts with diboron 2 to form an alkynyl borate intermediate (B'). Migration of the terminal boryl group in B' to the sp-hybridized carbon atom of the alkyne moiety associated with protonation of the carbonyl oxygen atom or the azole nitrogen atom of 1 with the Li⁺-coordinated tBuOH gave an allenol or allenamine intermediate C, which immediately isomerized to 3. The boron migration-protonation of B' regenerates LiOtBu.

It was found that the two geminal boron substituents of the 1,1-diborylalkenes could be differentiated and transformed in a stepwise manner (Scheme 6a). For example, Suzuki–Miyaura coupling between β,β-diborylacrylate 3a and bromobenzene in the presence of a Pd(OAc)2-DtBPF (DtBPF = 1,1'-bis(di-tert-butylphosphino)ferrocene) catalyst and K₃PO₄ as a base occurred selectively at the boron site trans to the ester group to give alkenylboronate 4a (71%,

Scheme 6. Transformations of 1.1-diborylalkenes.



E/Z > 99:1) with the formation of a small amount of the diphenylated product (10%). This stereoselectivity is probably because of the steric effect of the ester group. (Note that no interaction exists between the B atoms and the ester O atom in 3a (see above)). The second cross-coupling of 4a with 4-bromoanisole produced isomerically pure trisubstituted alkene **5a** in good yield (Z/E > 99:1). Copper-catalyzed conjugate reduction of 3a with poly(methylhydrosiloxane) (PMHS) afforded a functionalized geminal diborylalkane 6a in quantitative yield with the two C-B bonds remaining untouched (Scheme 6b).[10]

In summary, we have developed a new method for the synthesis of 1,1-diborylalkenes through a Brønsted base catalyzed reaction between terminal alkynes and bis(pinacolato)diboron. The procedure allows direct synthesis of functionalized 1,1-diborylalkenes from various terminal alkynes including propiolates, propiolamides, and 2-ethynylazoles. The functionalized β,β -diborylacrylates and β,β -diborylacrylamides reported herein are difficult to obtain by other methods (Scheme 1 a-c).[1-4] Importantly, the two geminally installed boron substituents of the 1,1-diborylalkenes could be differentiated and transformed in a stepwise manner, showing the potential of the new 1,1-diborylalkenes as versatile intermediates in organic synthesis.

Experimental Section

Gram-scale reaction (the reaction shown in Scheme 2 is representative): Bis(pinacolato)diboron (2; 3.81 g, 15 mmol) was placed in a Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stirring bar. The flask was evacuated and filled with argon. Acetonitrile (30 mL), ethyl propiolate (1a; 1.47 g, 15 mmol), and LiOtBu (120 mg, 1.5 mmol) were sequentially added to the flask. After 5 h stirring at 40 °C, the mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica gel, which was then washed with diethyl ether. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give pure 3a (4.81 g, 13.7 mmol, 91 % yield).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the JSPS through a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research B (No. 15H03803; to H.O.), and by CREST, JST (to M.S.). Additionally, K.N. thanks the JSPS for scholarship support.

Keywords: boration · Brønsted bases · diborylalkenes · organocatalysis · synthetic methods

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15859-15862 Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 16085-16088

[1] a) T. Hata, H. Kitagawa, H. Masai, T. Kurahashi, M. Shimizu, T. Hiyama, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 790; Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 812; b) T. Kurahashi, T. Hata, H. Masai, H. Kitagawa,

- M. Shimizu, T. Hiyama, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 6381; c) M. Shimizu, C. Nakamaki, K. Shimono, M. Schelper, T. Kurahashi, T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12506; d) M. Shimizu, K. Shimono, M. Schelper, T. Hiyama, Synlett 2007, 1969; e) M. Shimizu, T. Hiyama, Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B 2008, 84, 75.
- [2] D. S. Matteson, Synthesis 1975, 147.
- [3] a) R. B. Coapes, F. E. S. Souza, R. L. Thomas, J. J. Hall, T. B. Marder, Chem. Commun. 2003, 614; b) I. A. I. Mkhalid, R. B. Coapes, S. N. Edes, D. N. Coventry, F. E. S. Souza, R. L. Thomas, J. J. Hall, S.-W. Bi, Z. Lin, T. B. Marder, Dalton Trans. 2008, 1055; c) J. Takaya, N. Kirai, N. Iwasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12980.
- [4] Diboration or hydroboration of alkynylborons allowed the preparation of 1,1-diborylalkenes. See: a) K. Hyodo, M. Suetsugu, Y. Nishihara, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 440; b) H. E. Ho, N. Asao, Y. Yamamoto, T. Jin, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4670; c) L. Weber, D. Eickhoff, J. Halama, S. Werner, J. Kahlert, H.-G. Stammler, B. Neumann, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 2608; d) C.-I. Lee, W.-C. Shih, J. Zhou, J. H. Reibenspies, O. V. Ozerov, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14003; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 14209.
- [5] For reviews on diboration of unsaturated compounds, see: a) T. B. Marder, N. C. Norman, Top. Catal. 1998, 5, 63; b) G. J. Irvine, M. J. G. Lesley, T. B. Marder, N. C. Norman, C. R. Rice, E. G. Robins, W. R. Roper, G. R. Whittell, L. J. Wright, Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 2685; c) J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 1993.
- [6] For vicinal diborations of alkynes under transition-metal-free conditions, see: a) Y. Nagashima, K. Hirano, R. Takita, M. Uchiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8532; b) K. Nagao, H. Ohmiya, M. Sawamura, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1304. See also: c) K. Nagao, H. Ohmiya, M. Sawamura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136,
- [7] For base-catalyzed reactions of diboron reagents, see: a) A. Bonet, C. Pubill-Ulldemolins, C. Bo, H. Gulyás, E. Fernández, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7158; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 7296; b) C. Pubill-Ulldemolins, A. Bonet, C. Bo, H. Gulyás, E. Fernández, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 1121; c) K.-S. Lee, A. R. Zhugralin, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7253; d) H. Wu, S. Radomkit, J. M. O'Brien, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8277; e) A. Bonet, H. Gulyás, E. Fernández, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5130; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 5256; f) C. Pubill-Ulldemolins, A. Bonet, H. Gulyás, C. Bo, E. Fernández, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 9677. See also Ref. [6b].
- [8] S. R. Roscales, A. G. Csákÿ, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1605.
- [9] The addition of Me₃SiCl or aldehydes to a solution containing **B** did not give the corresponding coupling products but gave 3a after work-up. These results are incompatible with the formation of a lithium allenolate intermediate (X) as a precursor to 3a.



[10] a) J. C. H. Lee, R. McDonald, D. G. Hall, Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 894; b) J. Ding, D. G. Hall, Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 3428; c) J. Ding, J. C. H. Lee, D. G. Hall, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4462.

Received: October 2, 2015 Published online: November 13, 2015